

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,825	04/01/2002	Martin Russell Harris	P07421US00/RFH	1538
7590 05/12/2004		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			KWOK, HELEN C	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1001011, 111	20171		2856	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

16.	
in	
AL.	
ce address	
OWANCE. per reply to a e application in uest for Continued	
whichever is later. In no in. TION. See MPEP	
opropriate extension fee priate extension fee under action; or (2) as set forth in nely filed, may reduce any	
set forth in I.	
elow);	
ing or simplifying the	
ed claims.	
ely filed amendment	
oes NOT place the	
nich were newly	
ntered and an ded.	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/980,825	HARRIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Helen C. Kwok	2856	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponden

THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A profinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requ

Examination (RCE) in compl	iance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a request to: commuse
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
b) The period for reply expire event, however, will the sta	es <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. es on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final re atutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the fina X WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL	al rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtain have been filed is the date for purpose 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1)	ned under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are so of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final lived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, example 1.704(b).	ne appropriate extension fee under Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	as filed on 30 April 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the pany extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	
2. The proposed amend	ment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new iss	sues that would require further consideration and/or search (see N	OTE below);
(b) X they raise the issu	ue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deem issues for appeal;	ned to place the application in better form for appeal by materially ; and/or	reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present addi	itional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.
NOTE: See Conti	inuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has o	overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or am canceling the non-allo	nended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separation wable claim(s).	te, timely filed amendment
	☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered on for allowance because:	d but does NOT place the
	t will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to iss er in the final rejection.	ues which were newly
	al, the proposed amendment(s) a) $igtiz$ will not be entered or b) $igsqcup$ will not be entered or b) $igsqcup$ will not be entered below or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or a	
The status of the claim	n(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-2	<u>9</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn fro	om consideration:	
8. The drawing correction	n filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Ex	kaminer.
9. Note the attached Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	•	HELEN KWOK PRIMARY EXAMINER
		Tdele Tende

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to insert the clause "with no portion ... of said tuning fork" in claims 1 and 17 raises new issues and matter. The amendment changes the scope of the claims and requires further consideration and/or search..